Memo.

Docket from the Comr. Excise Dept. Dis No. 7 dated 4th April 18

In the above the Comr. requests that the Supt. Govt. Press may be directed to supply the marginally noted Distillery forms; specimen copies being enclosed.

License for distillers of arrack - 3 copies.

Retail arrack Licenses 660 copies

License for wholesale Vendors of arrack. 70 copies.

The following are the principal alterations made in the License forms submitted.

Distillers License:-

para. 2. The strength of liquor has been fixed

or keep rent 20 " Licensed Licensed keeper.

para 5 .- The words "By Govt." have been altered to "Except the Govt. Distillery" has been made throughout.

para 7. The words "License holders" have been substituted for "distillers."

para. 10.- "Distillers" altered to "License holders."

2. Retail Licence:-

para. III.- "Govt. distillery" altered to "Govt. Renters" and the clause as to payment of duty omitted.

para. IV. The payments have been provided for

May be approved
[illegible]

May be approved
[illegible]

May be approved
[illegible]

- do -

After "Renters" I would add, "at such rates as may be fixed by Govt" - This would protect the interests of the Retail Vend and prevent the Renters demanding any thing more than the fixed rates

[illegible]
Secretary to Govt

may be approved
RM

"monthly" instead of
"Quarterly".

para. VI. A clause
added to the effect
that liquor to the
extent of one quart
bottle may be sold
to individual purchasers
under a permit from
the Renters to be taken
out of the shop.

para. IX. The strength
of liquor fixed at proof
and 20° below proof.

para. XIV. For "one of the
distillers", the words
"the contractors" have
been substituted.

The concluding form
of receipt has been
struck out.

I don't know under what
authority this concession
is proposed. It is not
in the Notification inviting
tenders, and in a canton-
ment like Bangalore it
would be inadvisable to
allow arrack to be
taken out of a shop. Such
liquor would surely find
its way to the brothels
frequented by European soldiers
in bottles or illicit
peddlers appear
Renters be
persons
Sanction
conces
or
vend
Plu
no
RM

do

The Govt Renters should
be called in one place Cuddalore
Renters in another Chittoor
& in another Secunderabad
The Govt Renters might
be asked to

Perhaps separate precepts
would be granted.
RM

3. Licence for Wholesale Vendors.-

para 2. The strength of spirit sold is at proof, and 20 and 30 degrees below proof; "Govt" substituted for "Native" Residency.

I would alter para 2 as follows: -

"All spirits sold by the said _____ shall be made only to licensed retail vendors of the District at the strength of 20° u/p, but should there be a demand for liquor of lower strength than 20° u/p, the ~~Dealer~~ Renters shall sell liquor at the wholesale shops to the said retail vendors at 30° u/p, and grant special permits for the same. The Renter may likewise issue liquor at a higher strength than 20° u/p, but the spirit so issued shall not be at a greater strength than proof —". vide condition 4 clause VII of the Notification 142 at Nambour C

I concur generally with Resdcy. with regard to para 6 of Resdcys remark. Is there nothing on record? (d.o.) of obj, under to Col Pearce & ascertain what has been done & why. Then verbal personal applications for exceptions are objectionable unless they be also clearly recorded in writing —

Subdiv Do 13/4 HDG 12/4

I concur
15/4

Town as a Cheap
Traiders, and to
introduce arrack into
native Gentlemen's houses
more largely.
There is a great deal in
what is said as to the
preference to the pure
& wholesome arrack
over the noxious compounds
now sold, and provided
that the license to sell
Toddy in arrack is
better to not abuse,
for which purpose some
control must be exercised
by Inspectors I see no
objection to Col Pearse's
proposal being pursued

I see no good reason
for sanctioning any
alteration in the form
of licenses here before in
force prohibiting the
sale of arrack outside
the retail shops: I there-
fore decline to sanction
the change as this would
be the commencement of

20/

Subd.

Ce Jourd'hui dix septi-
ème Octobre mil huit
cent cinquante sept
heure du matin, pardevant
nous Maire de la Commune
de Bois d'Arcy, Canton de
Versailles, Département de
Seine et Oise, officier de
l'état civil, est comparu
Jean Baptiste Nicolas
Alexandre Lefèvre, âgé de
trente cinq ans, Cultivateur
demeurant à Bois d'Arcy
susdit Canton, lequel nous a
présenté un enfant du sexe
masculin, né hier à Bois d'Arcy
à onze heures du matin
de lui déclarant et de
Marie Adélaïde Lefèvre
son épouse, âgée de trente
ans, sans profession, demeurant
avec lui, auquel enfant il
a déclaré vouloir donner
les prénoms de Jean
Baptiste Nicolas Alexandre
Lefèvre, ainsi qu'il nous
a été requis.
Dont acte fait et passé
en présence de Jean
Baptiste Nicolas Alexandre
Lefèvre père, et de
Jean Baptiste Nicolas
Alexandre Lefèvre
cultivateur, âgé de
trente cinq ans,
demeurant à Bois d'Arcy
susdit Canton, ami
de la famille